

REMARKS

Applicants hereby request reconsideration of the application, in view of the foregoing amendments and following remarks.

Drawing

Please substitute the previously submitted drawing with the enclosed formal drawing. The new formal drawing has no new matter, and has been provided so that a clearer and cleaner drawing can be shown.

Information Disclosure Statement

Examiner stated that “the Information Disclosure Statement filed 6/19/2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(2) which requires a legible copy of ... each non-patent literature publication...” Although applicants have previously provided legible copies of the cited references, attached please find copies of the cited references. The copies sent are the best available copies. In addition, Applicants believe that the cited references do not constitute prior art and were only provided for background information.

Examiner Rejections/Objection

Examiner rejected Claims 1-11. Applicant hereby cancels Claims 1-11. Examiner objected to Claim 12 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any

Response to Office Action dated 06/30/05
Title: Two Band Imaging System
Date Filed: 06/19/03


Serial Number: 10/601,893
Inventors: Hillenbrand et al.
Attorney Docket No.: NC 84509

intervening claims. Claim 12 has been rewritten in independent form to overcome Examiner's objection. Claims 13-20 are allowed.

Conclusion

In view of the above, it is submitted that the claims are in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the objection is requested. Allowance of the claims at an early date is solicited.

Respectfully Submitted,



Mark O. Glut
Reg. No.: 38,161
47123 Buse Road
Building 2272/Suite 257
Patuxent River, MD 20670-1547
(301) 757-0582

Date: 7-19-2005